

Math 216 Exam 1 Solutions
Fall 2004

Brad Hartlaub

$$1. \text{ see p. 30 } n \doteq \frac{(z_{\alpha/2})^2 p(1-p)}{D^2} = \frac{1.96^2 (.75)(.25)}{(.02)^2} = 1800.75$$

$\Rightarrow$ Collect data on 1801 hens.

Conservative approach is ok - $n \doteq \frac{z_{\alpha/2}^2}{4D^2} = \frac{1.96^2}{4(.02)^2} = 2401$

2. Let p = proportion of stations with leaks
We want to test $H_0: p = .40$ vs $H_1: p < .40$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{7}{27} = .2593$$

(a) With Minitab - `Stat > Basic Stat > 1 Prop`

• Summarized Data # of trials = 27
 # of events = 7

Click options Test Proportion = .4

Alternative: Less than

<u>X</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Sample p</u>	<u>95% UCB</u>	<u>Exact P-value</u>
7	27	.259259	.432302	.095

Since .095 > most reasonable α levels (e.g. .01, .05), we do NOT have statistically significant evidence to reject H_0 .
i.e., we do NOT have evidence that the new program results ~~in~~ a significant decrease.

Without MTB - use LSA. (see p. 22)

$$z^* = \frac{B - nP_0}{\sqrt{nP_0(1-P_0)}} = \frac{7 - 27(.4)}{\sqrt{27(.4)(.6)}} = -1.4928$$

$$\text{Approximate P-value} = P(Z < -1.4928) = .0677448$$

Math 216 Exam 1 Solutions (Fall 2004)

#2 b. YES, Type II error - Fail to reject H_0 when it is false.

#3. Paired Data $\Rightarrow$ Form differences $z_i = y_i - x_i$
 $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$
men women

(a) Let θ = median of the differences

(b) Nothing said about symmetry (in fact the distribution of the differences is clearly not symmetric) so use procedures for the sign statistic - see p. 75 (section 3.6)

Point Estimate: $\hat{\theta} = \text{median}\{z_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = 4.7$

Interval Estimate: stat > Nonparametrics > 1-sample Sign

94.26% CI for θ is (3.1, 10.8)
 $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$
 $z^{(4)}$ $z^{(11)}$

98.71% C.I. for θ is (3, 20.4)
 $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$
 $z^{(3)}$ $z^{(12)}$

We are 98.71% confident that the median difference (Men - Women) is in the interval from 3 to 20.4.

Since the interval does not include zero, we have significant evidence (at $\alpha = .0129$) that the percentages for men and women differ.

* [Since all differences are greater than zero, ~~all~~ any level LCB (e.g. 97.13%, $\alpha = .0287 \Rightarrow (z^{(4)}, \infty)$) can be used to show that men read $(3.1, \infty)$ significantly more than women in EU countries.

Math 216 Exam 1 Solutions (Fall 2004)

Alternative solution for #3 (b) : Using C.I based on 1-sample Wilcoxon (signed rank) - Must state that they are assuming symmetry.

Point Estimate: $\hat{\theta} = \text{median} \left\{ \frac{z_i + z_j}{2} \mid i \leq j \right\} = 6.5$

94.8% C.I. for θ is $(3.6, 14.0)$

#4. (a) Paired Data $\Rightarrow$ Form Differences $z_i = y_i - x_i$
 $\uparrow$ strawberry $\uparrow$ ~~Banana~~ Vanilla

Let Q = median of the differences

Nothing said about symmetry (In fact the distribution of the differences is clearly NOT symmetric) so use sign test.

$$H_0: \theta = 0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta \neq 0$$

stat > Nonparametrics > 1-Sample Sign

<u>N</u>	<u>Below</u>	<u>Equal</u>	<u>Above</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>Median</u>
12	2	5	5	12 = 4531	0

STAT > Nonparametrics > 1-sample Wilcoxon (Must assume symmetry)

N	N for test	Wilcoxon	P	Estimated Median
12	7	21.0	.272	5

Since $.4531$ (or $.272$) $> .05$, we cannot reject H_0 . i.e., these data do NOT suggest that there is a significant difference in calories per serving of strawberry and vanilla yogurt.

Ideally students will comment on the large number of ties for this small data set.

Math 216 Exam 1 Solutions (Fall 2004)

#4 (b)

Assume symmetry $\Rightarrow$ Use 1 sample Signed Rank Procedures
We want to test $H_0: \theta = 120$ vs $H_1: \theta \neq 120$, where θ is the median number of calories from a serving of vanilla yogurt.

C.I. for θ is based on Walsh averages (see p. 56)

$$L_{\alpha} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1 - t_{\alpha/2} \quad \text{From Table A.4. Use } \alpha/2 = .026 \Rightarrow L = .052$$

$$L_{.052} = \frac{12(13)}{2} + 1 - 64 = 15 \quad \Rightarrow \text{confidence level} = 94.8\%$$

94.8% CI for θ is $(W^{(15)}, W^{(64)})$
 $(130, 190)$

We are 94.8% confident that the median number of calories for ~~a~~ serving of vanilla yogurt is between 130 and 190.
Since 120 is NOT in this interval we can reject H_0 and conclude that θ is significantly different from 120 or the claim is incorrect.

Math 216 Exam 1 Solutions (Fall 2004)

#5. (a) Any effect $\Rightarrow$ use Kolmogorov-Smirnov

$H_0: F(t) = G(t)$, for every t

$H_1: F(t) \neq G(t)$, for at least one t .

$\uparrow$ nonconfined $\uparrow$ confined.

Ordered Values

<u>Nonconfined (F_m)</u>	<u>Confined (G_n)</u>	<u>$F_m(t) - G_n(t)$</u>
	9.0	$ 0 - 1/10 = 1/10$
	9.2	$ 0 - 2/10 = 2/10$
	9.3	$ 0 - 3/10 = 3/10$
	9.5	$ 0 - 4/10 = 4/10$
9.6	9.6	$ 1/10 - 5/10 = 4/10$
	9.7	$ 1/10 - 6/10 = 5/10$
	9.9	$ 1/10 - 7/10 = 6/10$
10.3	10.3	$ 2/10 - 8/10 = 6/10$
10.4, 10.4	10.4	$ 4/10 - 9/10 = 5/10$
10.5		$ 5/10 - 9/10 = 4/10$
10.7, 10.7		$ 7/10 - 9/10 = 2/10$
10.9	10.9	$ 8/10 - 1 = 2/10$
11.1		$ 9/10 - 1 = 1/10$
11.2		$ 1 - 1 = 0$

$$\text{Test Stat: } J = \frac{n+1}{4} \max |F_m(t) - G_n(t)|$$

$$= \frac{10(10)}{10} \cdot \frac{6}{10} = 6$$

$$p\text{-value} = P(J \geq 6) = .0524 \text{ (Table A.10 p. 609)}$$

Since $.0524 > .05$, we cannot reject H_0 . According to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, sensory deprivation does not have a significant effect. However, the p-value is very close to .05.

Math 216 Exam 1 Solutions (Fall 2004)

#5 (b) I would recommend the Jackknifing procedure to test $H_0: \eta^2 = 1$ vs $H_1: \eta^2 \neq 1$ because there is no information to suggest that $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ (the two medians, confined and nonconfined) are equal).

(c) Look for shift difference to the left $\Rightarrow$ Use one sided Wilcoxon Rank Sum (2 sample procedure)

$$H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2$$

vs $H_1: \theta_1 > \theta_2$

$\uparrow$ nonconfined $\leftarrow$ confined.

Test Stat: $W = 140.5$

p -value (adjusted for ties) = .004

Since .004 < .05, we reject H_0 and conclude that frequencies are lower for the confined group. i.e., the sensory deprivation does have a significant location effect.

X E Non
Y G Con